Texts of Statement and Report on Covert C.I.A. Aid

CPYRGHT

CPYRGH1

Special to The New York Times

Washington, March 29-Following are the texts of a statement by President Johnson to-day and of a report to him by a panel headed by Under Secre-tary of State Nicholas deB. Katzenbach on the Central Intelligence Agency and private American voluntary organizadique:

Johnson Statement

I have received the report from the committee which I appointed on February 15 to review relationships between the Central Intelligence Ageney and private American voluntary organizations. This committee consisted of Under Secretary of State Nicholas Ratzenbach, as chairman, Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare John Gardense and GYA Director Riches. ner and C.I.A. Director Rich-

ard Helms.
I accept this committee's proposed statement of policy and am directing all agencies of the Government to implement it fully.

We will also give serious consideration to the committee's recommendation "that should Covernment the promptly develop and estab-lish a public-private mechanism to provide public funds openly for overseas activities of organizations which are adjudged deserving, in the national interest, of public support." To review concrete ways of accomplishing this objective I am requesting Secretary Rusk to serve as chairman of a special commit-tee which will include representatives from the executive, the Congress, and the private community.

Report on C.I.A.

Dear Mr. President: The committee which you appointed on February 15, 1967, has sought, pursuant to

your request:

To review relationships between Government agen-cies, notably the Central In-telligence Agency, and edu-cational and private voluntary organizations which operate

To recommend means to help assure that such organ-izations can play their prop-er and vital role abroad.

The committee has held a number of meetings, interviewed dozens of individuals in and out of Government, and reviewed thousands of pages of reports. We have

ties of a number of Fod ral ties of a number of Fred ral agencies. And we have reviewed in relational and specific detail the relationship between C.I.A. and each relevant organization.

Our report, supplemented with supporting classified occuments, follows. In summer 17, the committee offers

basic recommendations:

1. It should be the policy of the United States Government that no Federal a - acy shall provide any 👵 financial assistance o. port, direct or indirect on any of the nation's constant tional or private volum ry organizations,

The Government should promptly develop and out blish a public-private mechanism to provide public funds openly for overse activities or organizations which are adjudged deserving, in the national interest, of public support.

1. A New Policy

The years immediately after World War II saw a surge of Communist activity in organizations throughout the worki. Students, scientists, veterans, women and professional groups were organized into international bodies which mternational bodies which spoke in the cadences, advo-cated the policies, and fur-thered the interests of the Communist bloc. Much of this activity was organized, directed, and financed covertly by Communist Governments.

American organizations re-acted from the first. The young men and women who founded the United States National Student Association, for example, did so precisely to give American youth the capacity to hold their own in the international arena. But the importance of students as a force in international events had yet to become widely understood and N.S.A. found it difficult to attract private support for its international activities. Accordingly, the United States Government, acting through the Central Intelligence Agency, provided support for this overseas

We have taken N.S.A. as an example. While no useful purpose would be served by detailing any other C.I.A. pro-grams of assistance to pri-vate American voluntary organizations, one fundamental point should be clearly stated: such assistance was given pursuant to National Security Council policies beginning in October, 1951, and with the

mental review committees in the last four Administrations. In December, 1960, in a classified report submitted after a year of study, a public-private Presidential committee information on information activities abroad specifically endorsed MAN overt and covert pro-grams, including those as-sided by C.T.A.

Cur study, undertaken at a lar time, discloses new de-velopments which suggest which suggest that we should now re-examing these policies. The American public, for example, has become increasingly aware of the importance of the complex iorns of international competition between free societies this awareness has grown, so have potential sources of supgoet for the overseas work of private organizations.

There is no precise index to these sources, but their in-crease is suggested by the growth in the number of prirate foundations from 2,220 m. 1955 to 18.000 in 1967. Hence it is increasingly possible for organizations like N.S.A. to seek support for overseas activities from open sources. sources.

Just as sources of support have increased, so has the mimber of American groups engaged in overseas work, Acthere has been a ninefold incording to the Agency for International Development, crease just among voluntary organizations which participate in technical assistance abroad, rising from 24 in 1951. to 220 in 1965. The total of all private American voluntary groups now working overseas may well exceed a thousand.

The number of such organrations which has been assisted covertly is a small fraction of the total. The vast preponderance have had no relationship with the Government or have accepted only open Government fundsopen Government funds—which greatly exceed funds supplied covertly.

The work of private American organizations, in a host of fields, has been of great benefit to scores of countries. That benefit must not be impaired by foreign doubts about the independence of these organizations. The committee believes it is essential for the United States to un-derscore that independence immediately and decisively.

or these reasons, the committee recommends the following:

FOIAb3b

Statement of Policy

No Federal agency shall provide any covert financial assistance or support, direct or indirect, to any of the nation's educational or private voluntary organizations. This policy specifically applies to all foreign activities of such' organization and it reaffirms present policy with respect to their domestic activities.

Where such support has been given, it will be terminated as quickly as possible without destroying valuable private organizations before they can seek new means of support. (A)

we believe that, particularly in the light of recent publicity, establishment of a clear policy of this kind is the only way for the Government to carry out two important responsibilities. One is to avoid any implication that governmental assistance, because it mental assistance, because it is given covertly, is used to affect the policies of private voluntary groups. The second responsibility is to make it plain in all foreign countries that the activities of private depends on the private depends on the plain in all foreign countries. American groups abroad are, in fact, private.

The committee has sought carefully to assess the impact of this statement of policy on C.I.A. we have reviewed each relevant program of assistance carried out by the agency in case-by-case detail. As a result of this scrutiny, the committee is satisfied that application of the statement of policy will not unduly han-dicap the agency in the exercise of its national, security re-sponsibilities. Indeed it should be noted that, starting well before the appearance of re-cent publicity, C.I.A. had initiated and pursued efforts to disengage from certain of these activities.

The committee also recommends that the implementa-tion of this policy be supervised by the senior inbe i terdepartmental review committee which already passes on proposed C.I.A. activities and which would review and

FOIAb3b

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-RDP75-00149R000400220024-1

Sanitized to Approved Fold Release to LA RDP7.500149R000400220024-1

2. New Methods of Support

While our first recommendation seeks to insure the independence of private voluntary organizations, it does not deal with an underlying problem—how to support the national need for, and the intrinsic worth of, their efforts of the contractions of their efforts of the contractions are instructional need for the forth of their efforts of the contraction of the intrinsic worth of their efforts of the contraction of the intrinsic worth of the contraction of the intrinsic worth of the contraction of the intrinsic worth of the intrinsi forts abroad.

Anyone who has the slightest familiarity with intellec-tual or youth groups abroad-knows that free institutions continue to be under bitter, continuous attack, some of it carefully organized and well-financed, all of it potentially dangerous to this nation.

It is of the greatest importance to our future and to the future of free institutions everywhere that other nations, especially their young people, know and understand American viewpoints. There is no better way to meet this need than through the activ-ity of private American organizations.

The time has surely come from the Government to help support such activity in a

mature, open manner.
Some progress toward that aim already has been made. In recent years, a number of Federal agencies have de-veloped contracts, grants, and other forms of open as-sistance to private organiza-tions for overseas activities. This assistance, however, does not deal with a major aspect of the priblem. A number of organizations cannot, with-out hampering their effective-ness as independent bodies, accept funds directly from Government agencies.

The committee therefore recommends that the Government should promptly develop and establish a public-private mechanism to provide public funds openly for overseas activities of organizations which are adjudged deserving, in the national interest, of pub-

lie support.
Such a mechanism could take various forms. promising proposal, advanced by Mr. Eugene Black, calls for a public funded but pri-vately administered body pat-terned on the British Council.

The British Council, established in 1934, operates in 80 countries, administering approximately \$30,000,000 annually for reference libraries, exhibitions, scholarships, international conferences, and cultural exchanges, Because 21 of its 30 members are drawn from private life, the council has maintained a reputation for independence, even though 90 per cent of its funds are governmental.

services, other nations have developed somewhat similar institutions. The Indian Council for Cultural Relations, for example, is entirely Governexemple, is entirely Government-financed but operates, autonomously. The governing body of the Swedish Institute for Cultural Relations consists of both Government and private numbers. This institute accesses 75 per cent of its fonds from the Government and the remainder from ment and the remainder from private contributions.

The experience of these and other countries helps to demonstrate the desirability of a similar body in the United States, wholly or largely funded by the Federal Government. Another approach might be the establishment of a constraint of the stablishment of a constraint of the stablishment of t a governmental foundation, perhaps with links to the existing Federal Inter-Agency Count for Interrectional Education one Cultural Affairs.

Sur. a public-private body would not be new to the United States. Congress established the Smithsenian Institution, for example, more than a century ago as a private corporation, under the guardianship of Congress, but governed by a mixed publicprivate board of regents.

The committee began a preliminary study of what might be the best method of meeting the present need. It is evident, however, that, because of the great range both of existing Government and private philanthropic programs, the refinement of alternatives and selection of. among them is a task of censiderable complexity. Accordingly, we do not believe that this exclusively governmental committee is an appropriate forum for the task and we recommend, instead, the appointment of a larger group. including individuals in pri-vate life with extensive experience in this field.

The basic principle, in any event, is clear. Such a new institution would involve Government funds. It might well involve Government officials. But a premium must be placed on the involvement of private citizens and the exercise of private judgments, for to be effective, it would have to have—and to be recognized to have—a high degree of independence.

The prompt creation of such an institution, based on this principle, would fill an important—and never more apparent—national need.

the process of termination can be largely—perhaps entirely— completed by December 31, 1367.

be largely-perhaps entirelycompleted by December 31, 1367.

(B)-If the statement of policy
is to be effective, it must be rigorously enforced. In the judgment of this committee, no programs currently would jusify
any exception to this policy. At
the same time, where the security of the nation may be at
stake, it is impossible for this
committee to state categorically
now that there will never be a
contingency in which overriding
national security interests may
require an exception—nor would
it be credible to enunciate a
policy which purported to do so.
We therefore recommend that,
in the event of such unusual
contingencies, the interdepartmental review committee be
permitted to make exceptions to
the statement of policy, but only
where overriding national security interests so require; only on
a case-by-case basis; only where
open sources of support arshown to be unavailable; and
only when such exceptions receive th specific approval of the
Secretaries of State and Defense. In no event should any
future exception be approved
which involves any education,
philanthropic, or cultural organization. ization.